
Summary Statistics			
Run ID	unhtrema3		
Task	Summarization		
Run type	automatic		
Summarization model	Supervised neural or deep learning model		
Summarization method	Other		
Number of summaries	179		

Overall measures		
Summaries rated "excellent"	0 (0.0%)	
Summaries rated "good"	0 (0.0%)	
Summaries rated "fair"	5 (2.8%)	
Summaries rated "bad"	174 (97.2%)	

ROUGE score compared to episode description			
	0.0891 (95%-conf.int. 0.08519 - 0.09315)		
ROUGE_L R score	0.1343 (95%-conf.int. 0.13008 - 0.13885)		
ROUGE_L F score	0.0911 (95%-conf.int. 0.08879 - 0.09345)		

Qualitative judgments	
Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people	0.6%
(hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the	
podcast?	
Q2: Does the summary give any additional information	0.0%
about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, bi-	
ographies, personal background, etc)?	
Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the	6.1%
podcast?	
Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format	
of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's	
a chat between friends, a monologue, etc?	
Q5: Does the summary give you mode context on the title	
of the podcast?	
Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information?	
Q7: Is the summary written in good English?	
Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence	
and paragraph start and end points?	



Per-topic difference in rating from episode description